

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE.
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/006,887	12/05/2001	Werner Gilgen	P/3328-39	2896
2352 7	7590 09/23/2003			
001-10	K FABER GERB & S	- - ·	EXAMINER	
	E OF THE AMERICAS NY 100368403	PIAZZA CORCORAN,		GLADYS JOSEFINA
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1733	i P
			DATE MAILED: 09/23/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		ASE			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/006,887	GILGEN, WERNER			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Gladys J Piazza Corcoran	1733			
The MAILING DATE of this c mmunicati n app Period for Reply	ears on the c ver sheet with the c	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	_·				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Thi	is action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under a Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>21-49</u> is/are pending in the applicatio	n.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) 21-49 are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner	r.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accept	oted or b) objected to by the Exa	miner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on		oved by the Examiner.			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	•	·			
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Applicati	on No			
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	•			
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic					
 a) The translation of the foreign language pro 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti 	• •				
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal F	r (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)			
O Detected Testered Office					

Application/Control Number: 10/006,887 Page 2

Art Unit: 1733

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 21-32, drawn to an apparatus for manufacturing multi-layer material, classified in class 156, subclass 462.
- II. Claims 33-38, drawn to a multi-layer material, classified in class 428, subclass 182.
- III. Claims 39-49, drawn to a method of manufacturing a multi-layered paper material, classified in class 156, subclass 205.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions I and II are related as apparatus and product made. The inventions in this relationship are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the apparatus as claimed is not an obvious apparatus for making the product and the apparatus can be used for making a different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different apparatus (MPEP § 806.05(g)). In this case the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different apparatus for example, the product may be made by hand, or without a forming, gluing, or laminating station, or without a pressure-feeding mechanism as claimed.
- 3. Inventions III and I are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP §

Application/Control Number: 10/006,887

Art Unit: 1733

806.05(e)). In this case the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand such as without a pressure feeding mechanism as claimed.

- 4. Inventions III and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP §-806.05(f)). In the instant case the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process such as by hand, without applying adhesive material on the peaks of the web, or applying adhesive to the second paper web instead.
- 5. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 6. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Species I, where the method and apparatus are as shown in Figure 1.

Species II, where the method and apparatus are as shown in Figure 2.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement <u>must include an identification</u> of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims

Art Unit: 1733

readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. -MPEP-§-809-02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

7. A telephone call was made to Lawrence A Hoffman on September 3, 2003 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Application/Control Number: 10/006,887

Art Unit: 1733

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gladys J Piazza Corcoran whose telephone number is (703) 305-1271. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8am-5:30pm (alternate Fridays off).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Ball can be reached on (703) 308-2058. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

Gladys J Piazza Corcoran

Page 5

Examiner Art Unit 1733

GJPC